



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/006,958		12/04/2001	Sakuya Tamada	09792909-5284	2769
26263	7590	09/06/2005		EXAM	INER
SONNEN	ISCHEIN 1	NATH & ROSENT	DINH, TAN X		
P.O. BOX		ATION CEARC TO	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080			2653	TAI ER HOMBER

DATE MAILED: 09/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/006,958	TAMADA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	. Art Unit				
	TAN X. DINH	2653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address -				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed CHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
·	August 2005					
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15</u> 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Tile 	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ure prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	•	• •				
·	· _ · · pane quayes, rece e.z.	.,,				
Disposition of Claims						
	Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•					
6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Nor alastian requirement					
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	aror election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.					
`10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 August 2005</u> is/ar	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obj	ected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		plication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr						
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not r	eceived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	immary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:	onnai natent Application (MTO-152) -				

Application/Control Number: 10/006,958

Art Unit: 2653

1) The amendment filed 8/15/2005 is acknowledged. Claim 2 has been canceled.

Page 2

- 2) The drawings were received on 8/15/2005. These drawings are acceptable.
- 3) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4) This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5) Claim *l* is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over UTSUNOMIYA et al (6,154,437).

Application/Control Number: 10/006,958

Art Unit: 2653

UTSUNOMIYA et al discloses an optical disk as claimed in claim 1, comprises a substrate (Fig.2, substrate 2), a data recording layer (Fig.2, recording layer 4); a dielectric part (Fig. 2, dielectric layers 31, 32), a light-transmitting layer (Fig. 2, light-transmitting layer 2. In this case, the substrate 2 functions as light-transmitting layer), wherein data is recorded by applying a laser beam having wavelength of 380nm-450nm (column 17, lines 54-58) to the data recording layer through the lighttransmitting layer, the dielectric part comprises a nitride layer contacting the data recording layer and an oxide layer or a fluoride layer laid on the nitride layer, and the nitride layer has a thickness of at most 10nm (see column 9 , line 49 to column 10, line 20), except to specifically show that (i) the recording layer is organic material, (ii) the light-transmitting layer adhered to dielectric part with an adhesive agent and the reflectance of the beam is 15% to 25% at initial condition (before data is recorded) and is 0% to 10% after data is record. It would have been obvious to someone within the level of skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use an organic recording layer and adhered the light-transmitting layer to dielectric layer by an adhesive agent as claimed, the rationale is as follows:

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/006,958

Art Unit: 2653

Page 4

- (i) The organic recording layer are old and widely used in the optical recording art for storing information data (see MASUHARA, US 6,440,333, column 7, lines 58-67; MAEDA et al, US 5,371,730, figure 7, organic recording layer 3, column 12, lines 47-67; ESHO et al, US 4,504,548, abstract, etc.,) and
- (ii) in figures 1-3 and column 7, lines 20-34 and column 14, lines 13-18, UTSUNOMIYA et al teaches the feature of using adhesive agent in optical disk for adhering two layers (the adhesive agent are old and widely used in optical recording medium, the adhesive agent can be used on any layers at any suitable positions for adhering the layers together to form a solid optical storage medium, further, the adhesive may be a hot melt adhesives, a UV curing adhesive, a room temperature curing adhesive, or alternatively, a pressure sensitive adhesive, etc.,), and
- (iii) the reflectance of the beam irradiates on the optical disk at 15% to 25% at initial condition (before data is recorded) and at 0% to 10% after data is record is standard conditions of next generation of high density optical disk

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made would have been motivated to use an organic recording layer, an adhesive agent for adhering the light-transmitting layer to dielectric layer and the reflectance

Art Unit: 2653

condition before and after recording data in UTSUNOMIYA et al's optical disk in order to increase the density of the optical recording medium.

6) Applicant's arguments filed 8/15/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

First, Applicant states that the reference of Utsunomiya does not shows a light transmitting layer and the substrate in Utsunomiya is not function as a light transmitting layer.

Applicant is directed to Utsunomiya's figures 1-6, the in order to reproduce information data from recording layer 4, the light beam must focus on recording layer 4, the light cannot goes through protecting layer 6 (detail structures and material of protective layer 6 is provide in column 14, lines 4-12). Further, if the light could go through protecting layer 6, the light beam will be reflected at reflective layer 5 and the data on recording layer 4 cannot be reproduced.

Further, in column 7, lines 27-34, Utsunomiya teaches that his optical recording medium could be a double sides optical disk and protective layer 6 is located between two sides. Therefore, the light beam cannot go through protective layer 6 which cannot focus on recording layer 4 for performing reproducing process. The light beam, in fact, irradiates to substrate 2, focus on recording layer 4, the light beam, thereafter, reflects by reflective layer 5 to

Art Unit: 2653

photo-detector for processing the signal (it is further noted that the feature of using substrate as light transmitting layer are widely used in optical recording art).

Page 6

Second, applicant states that "Utsunomiya does not teach or suggests the light transmitting layer adhered to dielectric part which contacts the data-recording layer". Applicant is directed to Utsunomiya's figure 1, the light transmitting layer (substrate 2) is adhered to dielectric part 31 which contacts the data-recording layer 4.

For those reasons, claim 1 is still rejectable as shown above.

7) THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.

In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply

Art Unit: 2653

expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 8) The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 9) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TAN XUAN DINH whose telephone number is (571)272-7586. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-FRIDAY from 8:00AM to 5:00PM.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov./ Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TAN DINH
PRIMARY EXAMINER
September 1, 2005